

STATE OF MICHIGAN
COURT OF APPEALS

In the Matter of MICHELLE KAY DUSHANE,
Minor.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner - Appellee,

v

WANDA KAY DUSHANE,

Respondent,

and

CARL JOSEPH DUSHANE,

Respondent-Appellant.

UNPUBLISHED

October 24, 2000

No. 215561

Wayne Circuit Court

Family Division

LC No. 87-260561

Before: Markey, P.J., and Murphy and Collins, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Respondent-appellant appeals by right the trial court's order terminating his parental rights to the minor child pursuant to MCL 712A.19b(3)(c)(i), (g), and (j); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(3)(c)(i), (g), and (j). We affirm.

The court's factual findings were supported by the evidence and, thus, were not clearly erroneous. *In re Vasquez*, 199 Mich App 44, 51; 501 NW2d 231 (1993); see, also, MCR 5.974(I); *In re Miller*, 433 Mich 331, 337; 445 NW2d 161 (1989). Further, the trial court did not clearly err in finding that at least one statutory ground was established by clear and convincing evidence. *In re Trejo*, 462 Mich 341, 350, 352, 356-357; 612 NW2d 407 (2000). During the many months that the minor child was in foster care, appellant demonstrated that he could not provide a stable and suitable home for his daughter. Further, contrary to appellant's assertion, the evidence did not establish that termination was clearly not in the child's best interests. *Id.* at 357. In fact, the court went beyond the statutory best

interest inquiry by concluding that termination was in the child's best interests. *Id.* The trial court did not err in terminating appellant's parental rights to the child.

We affirm.

/s/ Jane E. Markey

/s/ William B. Murphy

/s/ Jeffrey G. Collins